DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) 1 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com MATTHEW D. PHAM (BAR NO. 287704) E-Mail: mpham@allenmatkins.com ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 6 EDWARD G. FATES (BAR NO. 227809) E-Mail: tfates@allenmatkins.com ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP One America Plaza 600 West Broadway, 27th Floor San Diego, California 92101-0903 Phone: (619) 233-1155 Fax: (619) 233-1158 10 11 Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver KRISTÁ L. FREITAG 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE Case No. 8:23-cv-00855-JWH (KES) 17 COMMISSION, RECEIVER'S SECOND INTERIM Plaintiff, REPORT AND 18 RECOMMENDATIONS 19 VS. 20 INTEGRATED NATIONAL RESOURCES, INC. dba WEEDGENICS, ROLF MAX 21 HIRSCHMANN aka "MAX BERGMANN," PATRICK EARL 22 WILLIAMS, 23 Defendants, and, 24 WEST COAST DEVELOPMENT LLC, INR CONSULTING LLC (WYOMING 25 ENTITY), OCEANS 19 INC. AUTOBÁHN PERFORMANCE LLC. 26 ONE CLICK GENERAL MEDIA INC., OPUS COLLECTIVE, JOHN ERIC 27 FRANCOM, INR-CA INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, LLC, MICHAEL 28 DELGADO. TOTAL SOLUTION

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

CONSTRUCTION LLC, BAGPIPE HOLDINGS LLC, BAGPIPE MULTIMEDIA LLC, TYLER CAMPBELL, INR CONSULTING LLC (CALIFORNIA ENTITY), HIDDEN SPRINGS HOLDINGS GROUP LLC, and ALEXANDRIA PORTER BOVEE aka "AIA MONTGOMERY", Relief Defendants. Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

-2-4869-3228-2489.2

LAW OFFICES

1			TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2				<b>Page</b>
3	I.	BAC	KGROUND	5
4	II.	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	7
5	III.	SUM	MARY OF RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES	9
6		1.	Business Operations	9
7		2.	Funds Recovered to Date	9
8		3.	Real Property Assets Identified and Recovered to Date	10
9		4.	Personal Property Assets Identified and Recovered to Date	11
10		5.	Real and Personal Property Insurance	12
11		6.	Other Investments and Loans.	13
12		7.	Affiliated Entities	14
13		8.	Digital Records	14
14		9.	Territorial Jurisdiction Over Receivership Assets	16
15		10.	Receipts and Disbursements	16
16		11.	Investor Communications	17
17		12.	Forensic Accounting	18
18	IV.	PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS		
19		1.	Document Recovery Efforts	18
20		2.	Receivership Asset Recovery Efforts and Investigation	19
21		3.	Accounting	19
22	V.	CON	CLUSION	19
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
amble				

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gambl
Mallory & Natsis LLP

-3-

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Page(s)
3	Cases
4	Haile v. Henderson Nat'l Bank, 657 Fed. 2d 816 (6th Cir. 1981)16
5	Statutes
6	28 U.S.C. § 754
7	20 C.S.C. § 73 I
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Gamble LLP	4869-3228-2489.2 -4-

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck C Mallory & Natsis

1 Krista Freitag ("Receiver"), the Court-appointed permanent receiver for 2 Defendant INTEGRATED NATIONAL RESOURCES, INC., DBA WEEDGENICS, And Relief Defendants, WEST COAST DEVELOPMENT LLC, INR CONSULTING LLC (WYOMING ENTITY), OCEANS 19 INC., 4 AUTOBAHN PERFORMANCE LLC, ONE CLICK GENERAL MEDIA INC., 5 OPUS COLLECTIVE, INR-CA INVESTMENT HOLDINGS, LLC, TOTAL 6 SOLUTION CONSTRUCTION LLC, BAGPIPE HOLDINGS LLC, BAGPIPE 7 8 MULTIMEDIA LLC, INR CONSULTING LLC (CALIFORNIA ENTITY), AND HIDDEN SPRINGS HOLDINGS GROUP LLC, and their subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively the "Receivership Entities" or individually, a "Receivership Entity") 10 hereby submits this Second Interim Report and Recommendations ("Second Interim 11 12 Report"). I. 13 **BACKGROUND** 14 On May 19, 2023, this Court entered the Temporary Restraining Order and Orders: (1) Freezing Assets; (2) Appointing a Temporary Receiver; (3) Requiring 15 Accountings; (4) Prohibiting the Destruction of Documents; and (5) Granting 16 17 Expedited Discovery; and Order to Show Cause why a Preliminary Injunction should not be Granted and a Permanent Receiver should not be Appointed. (the 18 19 "Temporary Restraining Order" or "TRO"). (Dkt. 17). 20 Subsequently, the Court entered Preliminary Injunction Orders as follows: 21 1. June 2, 2023 - as to Defendants Integrated National Resources Inc. dba 22 WeedGenics ("INR"), Rolf Max Hirschmann aka "Max Bergmann" ("Hirschmann"), 23 and Patrick Earl Williams ("Williams") (collectively, "Defendants"), and various 24 Relief Defendants, including West Coast Development LLC ("WCD"), INR 25 Consulting LLC (Wyoming Entity) ("INR Consulting/Williams"), Oceans 19 Inc. ("Oceans 19"), Autobahn Performance LLC ("Autobahn"), One Click General 26 27 Media Inc. ("One Click"), and Opus Collective ("Opus"). Dkt. 33. This order made 28 the receivership permanent as to the listed entities.

June 9, 2023 – as to Relief Defendants Michael Delgado, Total
 Solution Construction LLC ("TSC"), Bagpipe Holdings LLC ("Bagpipe Holdings")
 and Bagpipe Multimedia LLC ("Bagpipe MM"). Dkt. 48. This order made the
 receivership permanent as to TSC, Bagpipe Holdings, and Bagpipe MM.
 June 9, 2023 – as to Relief Defendants Tyler Campbell, INR

- Consulting LLC (California Entity) ("INRC"), and Hidden Springs Holdings Group LLC ("Hidden Springs"). (Dkt. 49). This order made the receivership permanent as to INRC and Hidden Springs.
- 4. June 29, 2023 as to Relief Defendants John Eric Francom and INRCA Investment Holdings, LLC's ("INR-CA") Joint Stipulated Request for a
  Preliminary Injunction Order and Orders: (1) Freezing Assets; (2) Appointing a
  Permanent Receiver; and (3) Prohibiting the Destruction of Documents. (Dkt. 95).
  This order made the receivership permanent as to INR-CA.
  - 5. July 13, 2023 as to Relief Defendant Alexandria Porter Bovee AKA "Aia Montgomery."

On June 29, 2023, the Court issued an Order Approving Stipulation Regarding Limited Modification to Preliminary Injunction Order with Respect to Certain Defendants and Relief Defendants and Orders: (1) Freezing Assets; (2) Appointing A Permanent Receiver; (3) Requiring Accountings; And (4) Prohibiting The Destruction Of Documents ("Hirschmann Stipulation") (Dkt 93). The stipulation allows Defendant Hirschmann to remain in possession of two residential properties located in Boise, Idaho occupied by Hirschmann, his wife, and his father, as well as two vehicles owned by Autobahn. The properties must be vacated and the vehicles turned over to the Receiver no later than September 20, 2023.

On July 6, 2023, the Receiver filed her Motion for Order in Aid of Receivership (Dkt. 107), and on July 28, 2023, the Court granted this motion (Dkt. 125), which among other things authorizes the Receiver to engage the law firm of Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP as her general counsel, to

prepare and file interim reports with the Court on a quarterly basis, to provide notice to all investors, creditors and other interested parties via electronic mail and the receivership-specific website – <a href="www.INRreceivership.com">www.INRreceivership.com</a> and grants relief from Local Rule 66-7.

This quarterly report covers the Receiver's activities during the second quarter of 2023 (from inception of the receivership on May 19, 2023 through June 30, 2023), and also reflects the status of certain assets as of the date of this report, if material activity has occurred between June 30, 2023 and the date of this report.

#### II. <u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>

In its Complaint, the Commission alleges that from June 2019 to April 2023, defendants Williams and Hirschman, along with INR have raised approximately \$61.7 million – including over \$22.4 million from November 2022 to April 2023 – from approximately 350 investors nationwide. The Complaint alleges Defendants lied about the existence of, revenue from, and permits associated with INR facilities that supposedly cultivated cannabis in Adelanto, California and Las Vegas, Nevada. The Complaint further alleges that Defendants fraudulently used investor funds to enrich themselves rather than to fund their purported business. To date, no evidence of such cannabis business operations has been located other than virtual offices (e.g., mailbox locations).

As previously reported, upon entry of the TRO, the Receiver and her team worked to review as much of the Complaint and evidence submitted by the Commission as possible. Despite repeated requests for meetings and demands for turnover of assets, books and records,<sup>1</sup> the cooperation of the Defendants and Relief Defendants has been very limited. Most of what is known about the Receivership Entities' assets and operations has come from gathering and reviewing records from

The Receiver, through counsel, sent detailed demands for records, turnover of assets, etc. to each of the individual defendants and relief defendants' counsel (numerous times).

third parties (including through the issuance of more than a hundred subpoenas). In fact, nearly all information, assets, books and records the Receiver has recovered or obtained in this case is a result of her and her team's subpoena efforts and very specific inquiries (resulting from the Receiver's review of third-party documentation) that she, through counsel, has posed to Defendants and Relief Defendants. In other words, unless the Receiver has identified them first and then demanded turnover thereof, assets have not been voluntarily disclosed and/or turned over to the Receiver. Furthermore, the Receiver has received no responses at all from Defendant Williams or Relief Defendant Delgado. As it relates to digital records and assets (e.g., websites, emails, company phones/computers, and financial books and records), Defendants and Relief Defendants have provided minimal information. Through her own efforts, the Receiver has been able to obtain control over Receivership Entity websites, email accounts (many of which were empty) and limited financial information. The data available from these sources is, for the most part, incomplete, deleted/destroyed or otherwise non-existent.<sup>2</sup>

With limited cooperation and little to no data turned over, the Receiver, through her counsel, has been forced to issue over 135 subpoenas requesting information from third parties related to the Receivership Entities and their investors; this process involves significant time preparing the subpoenas, following up with subpoena recipients to secure document productions and reviewing the productions received. These efforts have not only provided the Receiver with contact information for hundreds of investors, but also have confirmed the existence of and to date, provided for the Receiver's recovery of the following assets:

 Approximately \$8 million in cash (see Receipts and Disbursements below),

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

Notably, after the TRO was entered, the smart phone used by Hirschmann was allegedly turned over to a person associated with INR who he allegedly does not know and the smart phone used by Montgomery was allegedly turned into a Verizon store and cannot be located.

• Twelve (12) mostly exotic and luxury vehicles,

- Six (6) real properties, and
- Numerous pieces of jewelry and artwork.

#### III. <u>SUMMARY OF RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES</u>

## 1. **Business Operations**

Although virtual addresses associated with the Receivership Entities have been identified, no physical cannabis operations or premises operated or owned by the Receivership Entities have been located to date. Moreover, no revenue generated from any cannabis operations has been identified to date. And while the Receiver's work on the Court-ordered forensic accounting has begun, it is very early in the process.

As noted in the Receiver's initial report, where possible, change of addresses for the Receivership Entities' virtual addresses have been completed with the United States Postal Service (the USPS does not allow change of addresses for commercial mail receiving agencies – e.g., a UPS Store) to ensure all Entity related mail is forwarded to the Receiver.

The Receiver, through counsel, has issued subpoenas on various electronic and accounting related software companies in an attempt to recover organic company related data; however, some of these responses remain pending.

## 2. Funds Recovered to Date

The Receiver promptly notified each bank and known financial institution identified as having an account associated with the Receivership Entities. Through June 30, 2023, the Receiver recovered over \$6.54 million from bank accounts previously controlled by the defendants. Additionally, the Receiver recovered \$200,000 previously paid by Defendant Williams as a legal retainer and \$30,000 previously paid as a deposit by Autobahn for a contemplated vehicle purchase. Various other recovery efforts are ongoing, and the Receiver anticipates continued recoveries in the short term. Notably, since June 30, 2023, approximately \$1.3

million of additional cash has been recovered, which includes \$170,000 recovered from Hirschmann's post-TRO sale of a Maserati owned by Autobahn Performance (which funds were transferred to prospective legal counsel for Hirschmann by the buyer before being recovered by the Receiver) and \$1.09 million of funds recovered from Hirschmann after the Receiver discovered that he withdrew the funds after entry of the TRO in the form of cashier's checks drawn from company accounts previously unknown to the Commission.

The Receiver, through her counsel, has also subpoenaed all known financial institutions for all account records, which records are necessary for the Receiver to complete an accounting, as ordered by the Court. The Receiver's ongoing accounting work is discussed below.

#### 3. **Real Property Assets Identified and Recovered to Date**

Upon entry of the TRO, the Receiver promptly began diligent efforts to identify, take possession of and protect the physical assets of the Receivership Entities. The Receiver has confirmed that six (6) real properties (all are residential except for one (1) commercial storage unit, and each property is a part of a homeowner's association) are owned by Autobahn. These properties are in Boise, Idaho, Las Vegas, Nevada, and Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

The Receiver has taken possession of three (3) of the real properties, two (2) are subject to the Hirschmann Stipulation noted above and one (1) is purportedly subject to a lease to Loretta Cruz, a woman described by a Hirschmann as a family friend.

The three (3) properties of which the Receiver is in possession include a Fort Lauderdale condo,<sup>3</sup> a commercial storage unit ("Summersweet") and a townhome

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4869-3228-2489.2

-10-

Two computers, along with clothing items and toiletries were noted at this property. The hard drives of both computers were recovered from the machines. Both hard drives were examined by forensic IT specialists, however it was noted that one drive was encrypted. The Receiver requested the encryption key for the drive from Hirschmann.

("Riverpark"), both located in Boise, Idaho. At the time of appointment, renovation of the Riverpark property was nearing completion, and while the Receiver stopped the work upon takeover, upon completing an assessment of the entire contract and the remaining (punchwork) items, she subsequently authorized the construction company to complete the work.

In accordance with the Hirschman Stipulation (defined below), two of the Boise properties are currently occupied by Hirschmann ("Hacienda") and his father ("Sultana") until September 20, 2023. According to the construction company that was working on Riverpark, the Hacienda property was also undergoing an extensive remodel. That work has been suspended pending Hirschmann's exit from the property. Through counsel, Hirschmann recently advised the Receiver of an alleged Homeowner's Association ("HOA") violation at the Hacienda property.

The property located in Las Vegas ("Gracemoor") is currently occupied by a tenant, as noted above. Hirschmann provided a copy of a purported lease, however it contains various highly unusual and non-market terms. The Receiver is working with counsel to determine next steps to recover possession of the property. The tenant, a woman named Loretta Cruz, has engaged counsel. Additionally, the HOA has notified the Receiver of an alleged violation of the HOA rules related to renovations to the property and the Receiver is currently working with the HOA to gather documentation to gain an understanding of the alleged violation.

The Receiver anticipates submitting to the Court a proposed process for the sale of these real properties in the near term. Once the process has been approved, the Receiver will engage brokers, market the properties, and seek Court approval of each proposed sale.

# 4. Personal Property Assets Identified and Recovered to Date

In addition to the real property, the Receiver noted dozens of vehicle purchases by Receivership Entities or with funds raised from investors. To date, the Receiver has identified 12 vehicles owned by Autobahn, 10 of which have been

turned over by Mr. Hirschman and two (2) of which are subject to the Hirschman Stipulation and are anticipated to be recovered on or before September 20, 2023.

The Receiver is also aware of numerous vehicles owned by Defendants or Relief Defendants and is investigating the source of funds used to purchase those vehicles. Relief Defendant Campbell has turned over two (2) vehicles to date. The Receiver has also identified and made demand on three (3) luxury SUV's, which were purchased by Autobahn and gifted by Hirschmann to three (3) women located throughout the country.

Based on the best information available at this time, the Receiver identified hundreds of thousands of dollars of jewelry purchases. Approximately 13 pieces have been recovered and secured in a safe deposit box to date and efforts to locate and recover additional pieces are ongoing.

As part of the Receiver's upcoming motion regarding the proposed real property sale process, she will also present a proposed process for the sale of personal property assets.

## 5. Real and Personal Property Insurance

As the Receiver quickly identified valuable real and personal properties owned by the Receivership Entities or purchased with investor funds, she quickly sought quotes to provide coverage for the assets in the absence of any insurance information. After reviewing information provided by insurers identified through bank records, the Receiver determined existing policies adequately covered the Hacienda, Summersweet, Riverpark and Gracemoor properties. As the Fort Lauderdale property had recently been purchased prior to the Receiver's appointment, that property was only covered by the condo association's master policy. In the event of a loss, that policy would only cover the rebuild of the exterior structure of the property and would not cover any of the interior. The Receiver bound a policy to cover the interior of the home, it's related personal property and general liability. Further, it was determined that the Sultana property

4869-3228-2489.2

was under-insured as the policy in place was only a renter's policy. The Receiver therefore placed a landlord's policy to cover the property and general liability.

After several requests, Defendant Hirschmann provided policy information to show that many of the Autobahn vehicles were insured by State Farm. When the Receiver received notice that some of the policies had an amount due, those amounts were promptly paid to keep the insurance in place. As State Farm was unwilling to provide policy information in a timely manner, the Receiver did place coverage for certain of the uninsured vehicles with Hagerty Insurance. The Receiver also secured a jewelry policy.

#### 6. Other Investments and Loans

After reviewing the banking records and then documents and information produced by the web hosting company in response to her subpoena, the Receiver discovered an operating business owned by Raw Sports, LLC (a Hirschmann controlled entity), which had recently purchased Rari Nutrition ("Rari"). Rari was a nutritional supplements company largely sold through the Amazon.com website, but also through its own website at rarinutrition.com.<sup>4</sup> Through various subpoenas, the Receiver confirmed that Raw Sports, LLC dba Rari is an existing operating business that Hirschmann purchased through Raw Sports, LLC, just prior to the Receiver's appointment with what appears to be investor funds. After the Receiver obtained this information, Hirschmann agreed to turn control of the company and its operations over to the Receiver. An experienced Amazon.com operations team was operating the virtual storefront, along with existing product. The Receiver is working with the operations team and continues to gather information regarding the business to support its operations (from manufacturing of product inventory to warehouse inventory to insurance) until a path forward to maximize recovery is determined.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-13-

4869-3228-2489.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> No known sales have occurred through the website and the Receiver disabled the capability for sales on it.

While information is limited at this time as to the nature, status and collectability thereof, the Receiver, with the assistance of counsel is working to pursue information on material transfers made to numerous individuals and companies from receivership entities and investor funds, including an alleged cannabis related operation located in Oklahoma.

#### 7. Affiliated Entities

4869-3228-2489.2

While a dozen or more entities with ties to the Defendants and Relief
Defendants have been identified thus far, the Receiver believes the following
additional entities should be considered affiliates of the Receivership Entities, and
therefore included in the receivership under the PI Orders:

- 1. Construction Consulting, LLC
- 2. INR Consulting 2023, LLC
- 3. INR Media Group, LLC
- 4. Luxevale Inc.
- 5. Raw Sports LLC
- 6. Deutsche Mann Holdings, LLC (an entity used to initially put the condominium located in Fort Lauderdale under contract)

Several bank accounts associated with these affiliated entities were secured and funds recovered.

## 8. <u>Digital Records</u>

Without a physical operation and with very limited cooperation from the Defendants and Relief Defendants, the Receiver has also been working diligently to identify any payments to any companies associated with the Receivership Entities. As part of her effort to identify, obtain, control and preserve the business records associated with the Receivership Entities, with the assistance of counsel, the Receiver has also served various vendors, and other third parties (including the internet service provider, website hosting companies, and other key vendors believed to service the Receivership Entities) with subpoenas and has made a

-14-

demand that they each preserve all electronic and other documents in their possession, custody or control.

The Receiver continues to pursue various avenues for recovering email (e.g., a subpoena issued to Google). Through discussions with the available Defendants and Relief Defendants, it has been noted that email users were instructed to create a Gmail account to access these email accounts. Once those Gmail accounts were created, the accounts were set up to retrieve the email from the relevant account receivership account. At least one user has claimed that someone deleted all the email from their Gmail account, so they are unable to provide any further detail.

Thus far, the Receiver has obtained access to one company social media account and continues to work with the relevant personnel to gain access to others. Additionally, the Receiver has or will issue subpoenas if necessary to take control over those accounts. Once in control, the Receiver will post notices on those accounts directing investors to the Receivership website.

## 9. <u>Territorial Jurisdiction Over Receivership Assets</u>

By filing the Complaint and the TRO with other federal district courts in the United States, the territorial jurisdiction of the Court over receivership assets is extended to such districts. 28 U.S.C. § 754, see also Haile v. Henderson Nat'l Bank, 657 Fed. 2d 816, 822 (6th Cir. 1981). Based on information obtained to date, the Receiver has filed the Complaint and TRO in the Eastern District of California, District of Colorado, Southern District of Florida, District of Idaho, District of Nevada, District of South Carolina, Northern District of Texas, and District of Wyoming. As additional information becomes available, the Receiver will file and record the Complaint and the appointment order in applicable districts and counties in conformity with Section 754 and federal law.

## 10. Receipts and Disbursements

The following reflects the cash activity of the receivership estate for the period from May 19, 2023, through June 30, 2023. A summary of the receipts and disbursements is as follows:

CASH BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2023	\$6,730,458	
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	(\$63,473)	
asset search related expenses)	(\$19,480)	
General Receivership Expenses (includes		
transportation, security, insurance)	(\$30,240)	
Vehicle Expenses (e.g., repossession,		
monthly dues, insurance, etc.)	(\$\psi,233)	
Real Property Related Expenses (e.g., HOA	(\$7,253)	
Raw Sports Operating Expenses	(\$6,500)	
TOTAL RECEIPTS	\$6,793,931	
Legal Retainer and Other Funds Returned	\$230,000	
Raw Sports Net Operating Revenue	\$17,198	
Interest Income	\$5,032	
Total Bank Account Balances at takeover	\$6,541,700	

4869-3228-2489.2 -16-

Since June 30, 2023, the Receiver has recovered an additional \$1.3 million in cash, has identified additional opportunities for further recoveries, and will continue to pursue same.

## 11. <u>Investor Communications</u>

Upon entry of the TRO, the Receiver promptly established a dedicated web page with a link to same on the Receiver's company's website which will be used to provide case information, regular updates, and answers to frequently asked questions to investors. The Internet address for the webpage is <a href="https://www.INRreceivership.com">www.INRreceivership.com</a>. In addition, the Receiver is maintaining a dedicated e-mail address and telephone line for all inquiries.

As previously discussed, a complete investor database was not turned over to the Receiver. As a result, the Receiver promptly posted an initial communication with investors on the receivership website and proceeded to work diligently to identify physical mailing addresses for investors through banking records and to otherwise identify investors through a subpoena to DocuSign – the platform used by investors to sign subscription agreements. As a result of these efforts, the Receiver has now been able to send a physical letter to all known investors for whom addresses were attainable and given the DocuSign production, the Receiver also believes she should have email addresses used by all investors who signed subscription agreements. However, even with this list of investors, until the Receiver completes a forensic accounting and a claims process, it is possible that the complete list of investors (and creditors) will remain unknown.

The Receiver has also been working to identify any payments to accounting software, customer relationship manager (CRM) entities, or similar types of programs. To date, only one such vendor has been identified and a subpoena for records was issued, response to which has not yet been received.

#### 12. Forensic Accounting

While the Receiver's work on the Court-ordered forensic accounting has begun, it is very early in the process. To date, the Receiver has not seen any material transactions incurred by the Receivership Entities which are associated with revenue generating cannabis operations, but has seen *material* amounts of credit card payments, payments to numerous (unexplained) non-investor individuals and entities (mentioned above), payments to investors, cash withdrawals, real and personal property purchases (discussed above), real property renovation/improvement related expenses, and other personal affect-type transactions.

Given that no accounting system or data for the companies has been located or turned over by any of the Defendants or Relief Defendants, the Receiver, through her counsel, has issued numerous subpoenas to gather banking records (as discussed above) and is using a project-specific and cost-effective AI software to upload the tens of thousands of banking transactions into a database. This software will save considerable time and expense and shorten the period of time required to complete the accounting, which in some complex cases can take many months or even years (depending on the number of bank accounts and volume of transactions).

## IV. PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

The Receiver's efforts to marshal and recover assets and relevant Receivership Entity documents and records are in their early stages and are ongoing. In the near term, the Receiver and her professionals make the following recommendations.

## 1. <u>Document Recovery Efforts</u>

The Receiver will continue to obtain records from all available sources identified and associated with the Receivership Entities, including all financial institutions where the Receivership Entities maintained accounts, as well as from attorneys and accountants engaged by the Receivership Entities.

2. Receivership Asset Recovery Efforts and Investigation

The Receiver will seek to locate any presently unaccounted for receivership assets that may exist. As part of her investigation, the Receiver will evaluate claims to pursue recovery of assets of the Receivership Entities from third parties. The Receiver will seek Court approval before pursuing any such claims.

#### 3. Accounting

The Receiver will proceed with an accounting to establish, among other things, identification of all assets not already identified, the identification of investors and the money in-money out account balances associated with the investors identified.

## V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Based upon the Receiver's preliminary investigation and findings, the Receiver recommends and requests that the Court order the Receiver to continue her work pursuant to the orders issued by the Court. The Receiver also requests the Court authorize her continuing investigation and approve this second report and recommendations.

Dated: August 23, 2023 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

By: /s/Edward G. Fates

EDWARD G. FATES
Attorneys for Court-Appointed
Receiver KRISTA L. FREITAG

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

4869-3228-2489.2 -19-