

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEGRATED NATIONAL
RESOURCES, INC. dba
WEEDGENICS, ROLF MAX
HIRSCHMANN aka "MAX
BERGMANN," and
PATRICK EARL WILLIAMS,

Defendants, and

WEST COAST DEVELOPMENT
LLC, INR CONSULTING LLC
(WYOMING ENTITY),
OCEANS 19 INC.,
AUTOBAHN PERFORMANCE LLC,
ONE CLICK
GENERAL MEDIA INC.,
OPUS COLLECTIVE,
JOHN ERIC FRANCOM,
INR-CA INVESTMENT HOLDINGS,
LLC,
MICHAEL DELGADO, TOTAL
SOLUTION CONSTRUCTION
LLC,
BAGPIPE HOLDINGS LLC,
BAGPIPE MULTIMEDIA LLC,
TYLER CAMPBELL.

Case No. 8:23-cv-00855-JWH-KESx

**ORDER REGARDING
RECEIVER'S UNOPPOSED
APPLICATIONS FOR PAYMENT
OF FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT
OF EXPENSES [ECF Nos. 250 &
251]**

1 INR CONSULTING LLC
2 (CALIFORNIA ENTITY),
3 HIDDEN SPRINGS HOLDINGS
4 GROUP LLC, and
5 ALEXANDRIA PORTER BOVEE aka
6 "AIA MONTGOMERY,"

Relief Defendants.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Before the Court are the Receiver’s two unopposed Applications for
2 Payment of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses.¹ The Court concludes that
3 these matters are appropriate for resolution without a hearing. *See*
4 Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; L.R. 7-15. After considering the Applications and the lack of
5 opposition, the Court **GRANTS** the Receiver’s unopposed Applications.

6 In May 2023, the SEC filed a Complaint seeking a preliminary injunction
7 against Defendants Integrated National Resources Inc. dba WeedGenics
8 (“INR”), Rolf Max Hirschmann aka “Max Bergmann,” and Patrick Earl
9 Williams (collectively, “Defendants”) and various Relief Defendants, including
10 Alexandria Porter Bovee, West Coast Development LLC, INR Consulting LLC
11 (Wyoming Entity), Oceans 19 Inc., Autobahn Performance LLC, One Click
12 General Media Inc., and Opus Collective (collectively, “Relief Defendants”),
13 and seeking the appointment of a permanent receiver over INR and the entity-
14 Relief Defendants.² On the same day, the SEC filed a Memorandum of Points
15 and Authorities, as well as supporting declarations and exhibits, seeking the
16 same relief initially on a temporary basis via a Temporary Restraining Order
17 (“TRO”).³ Three days later, the Court entered the TRO.⁴

18 In June 2023, the Court granted the preliminary injunction and issued
19 orders (1) freezing Defendants’ and Relief Defendants’ assets; (2) appointing
20 Freitag as Permanent Receiver; (3) requiring accountings from Defendants and
21

22
23 ¹ Receiver’s Appl. for Payment of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses
24 (the “Fourth Interim Application”) [ECF No. 250]; Receiver’s Appl. for
25 Payment of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses (the “Fourth Interim Fee
Application”) [ECF No. 251].

26 ² *See* Compl. (the “Complaint”) [ECF No. 1].

27 ³ SEC’s Mem. of P. & A.; Supporting Declarations [ECF Nos. 4-10.]

28 ⁴ TRO & Orders [ECF No. 17].

1 Relief Defendants; and (4) prohibiting the destruction of documents.⁵ Since it
2 issued those initial orders, the Court has issued many follow-on orders variously
3 extending and adjusting the scope of the TRO and PI. Ultimately, the parties
4 stipulated to, and the Court issued, judgment as to Defendant INR and Relief
5 Defendants.⁶

6 Freitag subsequently filed her instant Applications for Reimbursement.⁷
7 The Fourth Interim Application covers the period from January 1 through
8 March 31, 2024, and it seeks the approval of \$188,575.88 in fees and \$33,426.15
9 in expenses incurred by Freitag, as well as an order authorizing her to pay, on an
10 interim basis, 80% of the fees incurred (\$150,860.70) and 100% of the expenses
11 incurred (\$33,426.15).⁸ The Fourth Interim Fee Application covers the same
12 period, and it seeks the approval of \$156,533.85 in fees and \$4,326.96 in
13 expenses incurred by Freitag's general counsel, Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
14 Mallory & Natsis LLP ("Allen Matkins"), as well as an order authorizing
15 Freitag to pay, on an interim basis, 80% of those fees (\$125,227.08) and 100% of
16 those expenses (\$4,326.96).⁹ No party objected to either Application.

17 Because no party objected to either Application and the fees and costs in
18 each appear well documented and reasonable,¹⁰ the Court **GRANTS** those two
19 Applications.

20
21 ⁵ Prelim. Inj. With Respect to Certain Defs. & Relief Defs. & Orders [ECF
22 No. 33].

23 ⁶ See Stipulation for J. as to Def. INR [ECF No. 230]; Stipulation for J. as to
24 Relief Defs. [ECF No. 231]; J. as to Def. INR [ECF No. 237]; J. as to Relief
25 Defs. [ECF No. 238].

26 ⁷ Fourth Interim Application; Fourth Interim Fee Application.

27 ⁸ Fourth Interim Application 5:10-14.

28 ⁹ Fourth Interim Fee Application 5:11-15.

¹⁰ See Fourth Interim Application; Fourth Interim Fee Application.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. DISPOSITION

For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby **ORDERS** as follows:

1. The Receiver’s two Applications for Reimbursement are **GRANTED**.
2. The Receiver’s fees and costs for the Fourth Application Period are **ALLOWED** and **APPROVED**, on an interim basis, in the amounts of \$188,575.88 and \$33,426.15.
3. The Receiver is **AUTHORIZED** and **DIRECTED** to pay herself \$150,860.70 in fees and \$33,426.15 in costs from assets of the receivership estate, which amount reflects an interim payment of 80% of the allowed fees and 100% of the allowed costs.
4. Allen Matkins’ fees and costs for the Fourth Application Period are **ALLOWED** and **APPROVED**, on an interim basis, in the amounts of \$156,533.85 and \$4,326.96, respectively.
5. The Receiver is **AUTHORIZED** and **DIRECTED** to pay Allen Matkins \$125,227.08 in fees and \$4,326.96 in costs from assets of the receivership estate, which amounts reflect an interim payment of 80% of the allowed fees and 100% of the allowed costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 13, 2024



John W. Holcomb
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE